

EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of Public Procurement Rules-2004)


For Electrification/ Providing & Installation of Garden Lights and Purchase of Roses against Development Project "Establishment of Rose Garden at Shoukat Line & Tulip Demonstration at Jalalabad Muzaffarabad AJ&K"

1. Name of Procuring Agency : **Department of Agriculture**
2. Method of Procurement : **Single Stage- Two Envelope**
3. Title of Procurement : **"Tender Notice"**
4. Tender Inquiry No. : **3664**
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE) : **3664**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: **29.12.2021 (11:00AM)**
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: **29.12.2021 (11:30 AM)**
8. No. of Bids Received: **04**
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: **According to "Tender Notice" & "Specifications" prepared for the purchase of different items**
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: **-**

S.#	Name of Items	Rates offered by		Marks		QTY	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy /Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rules 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
				Technical	Financial			
1.	Aluminum Hybrid Solar Garden lights	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	09	-	
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		450000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		1190700	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
2.	Lights Fixing Pole	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	03	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		90000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		114600	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
3.	Garden/ Pathway Lights	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	55	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		550000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		984500	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
4.	220 Volt LED LIGHTS	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	04	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		120000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		100000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-

S.#	Name of Items	Rates offered by		Marks		QTY	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy /Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rules 35 of AJK PPRA Rules, 2017
				Technical	Financial			
5	Tree Lights	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	05	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		150000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		100000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
6	P/L 7/29 Cable	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	2000 Rft	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		100000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		100000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
7	P/L PVC pipe	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	2000 Rft	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		50000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		92000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
8.	Dp Box including Braker	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	01	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		20000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		21000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
9.	Excavation earth work	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	150 Cft	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		3000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		5850	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
10	Concrete work	1.	Alzaman Engineering Pvt Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-	180 Cft	-	-
		2.	M/S Raja Amir Zafar Khan & Co AJK.	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		54000	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		3.	Green Hills Pvt. AJK	Qualified	Dis- Qualified		86760	Rejected on the basis of higher rates as compared to market
		4.	Al-Syed Traders Muzaffarabad AJK	Dis- Qualified	-		-	-
11.	Roses	No bid received for the purchase of roses.						

Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share. NA


(Syed Ghulam Murtaza Gillani)
 Assistant Horticulture Officer/
 Member/Secretary Purchase Committee
 Department of Agriculture